office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : 25X1A9a

FROM :

SUBJECT: Comment on 25X1X7

25X1X7 1. The following report on the procedure by which CIA prepares comments on papers is submitted in order to clarify the present situation and to suggest a basis for continuing this operation.

I. Background.

25X1A9a 2. In November 1948, on the suggestion of undertook to have developed an ORE procedure for regular comment by CIA on 25X1X7 intelligence papers. The task of studying the problem and outlining a procedure was given to the Staff Intelligence Group. Within G/SI, I was given the responsibility of developing the problem. A study was made of papers then on 25X1X7 hand to determine which, if any should be commented on at the outset; no decision was made to comment on the 23 papers suggested for this treatment. A procedure papers as they are received, and was 25X1X7 was also developed for handling employed in March 1949, even before the procedure was promulgated on 25 April as ORE Operating Procedure No. 6. In this procedure, G/SI was given the major role of initiating comment, on the assumption, since proved unsound, that in the course of its planning functions G/SI would be carefully perusing all documents 25X1X7 and could speedily indicate those upon which comment would be useful.

25X1A

II. Report on Comments Forwarded.

3. Despite the original intention to prepare and forward CIA comments once a quarter, only two sets of routine comments have so far gone forward:

IM-138, dated 4 March 1949, contained comments on 3 documents, and acknowledged no others;

IM-224, dated 2k September 1949, contained comments on 4 documents and acknowledged 3 others.

- 25X1X74. In addition to routine comments, listed above, CIA has of course prepared other comments on listed in this report.
 - 5. There are at present in the files of D/Pub 8 comments dating from 18 October 1949 to 31 May 1950 which have not yet been forwarded. Of these, because of the ephemeral nature of the reports commented on or because of the trend of events, it is suggested that only 3 should be forwarded to at this time. 25X1X7

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release (TONFIDENTIA RDP75-00662R000200080020-3

- 6. A word of explanation is called for on D/Pub's unimpressive performance in getting ORE comments to 25X1X7
- a. This matter has inevitably been one of low priority in an office busy with other things; twice in the past 8 months (in December 1949 and again in April 1950) items were collected for forwarding but could not be processed;
- b. The close review of publications on which D/Pub's decisions 25X1X7 on comments had to be based, proved impossible in the face of more pressing matters;
- c. The change in the routing procedure in October 1949 deprived D/Pub of its position of prescribing the routing to be given. Because of its frequently low position on the distribution lists for these documents, D/Pub has generally had only a post facto ability to indicate where comments might be made. In the elapse of time, the value of requested comments had materially declined.

III. Suggestion for Procedure.

- 7. ORE Operating Procedure No. 6 of 25 April 1949 should be fundamentally revised.
- 8. The following specific suggestions, together with the reasons therefor, are made:
- a. Reference to D/Pub's routing of documents should be stricken, 25X1X7 in view of the recission of these portions of ORE Operating Procedure No. 28 (dated 26 January 1949) which referred to documents. 25X1X7
- b. If it is desired that D/Pub continue to exercise the "monitoring function" set forth in Operating Procedure No. 6, it is essential that D/Pub be placed at the top of the distribution of each document.
- c. The full initiative and responsibility for deciding whether comments on a specific paper should be made by ORE should not rest with D/Pub, but should be jointly shared by D/Pub and the substantive divisions. In most instances, the divisions are in a far better position to decide, on the basis of the information they possess, whether comment is warranted. The procedure should therefore be rephrased to provide that divisions may, on their own initiative, send in comments in the prescribed form.
- . d. The mechanical procedure set forth in II. 2. of Operating Procedure No. 6 can be retained, subject to grammatical rearrangement.
- e. The phrase "... and of the US Government." in II. 3. of Operating Procedure No. 6 should be omitted, to avoid any impression that these comments are coordinated throughout the intelligence structure of the US Government. The types of comment indicated for inclusion and avoidance are essentially those initially suggested by and should remain unchanged.

 25X1A9a

Approved For Releas (2007) 15-00662R000200080020-3

IV. General Remarks.

- 25X1X7

 Extent of commenting procedure. It has been suggested that all

 papers should receive ORE comments. On the basis of past experience

 papers should receive ORE comments. On the basis of past experience

 it appears that perhaps one in five documents received from
 this comment, and that in 80 percent of the documents, formal acknowledgement
 and note of agreement would be all that we could make. This would appear to
 be doubtful value, particularly in those cases in which the papers are simply
 reports or numbers in report series. I would suggest that comment be restricted to those papers which are essentially of estimate or basic intelligence
 character and, beyond that, to those instances in which we would be of some

 25X1X7elp in providing
 certain information which they apparently do
 not possess.
 - papers be forwarded as they are received. In the past, the frequency of those papers upon which comments were warranted suggested combining these comments for forwarding about once a quarter. Undoubtedly, however, a different procedure could be worked out if it were desired to send the comments on at once.

25X1A9a